## **Subject Description Form**

| Subject Code                                  | APSS451   |                          |                     |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Subject Title                                 | Social Policy   |                          |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Credit Value                                  | 3   |                          |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Level   | 4   |                          |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-requisite /<br>Co-requisite/<br>Exclusion | Nil   |                          |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment<br>Methods                         | 100% Continuous Assessment  | Individual<br>Assessment | Group<br>Assessment |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Term paper   | 50%                      |                     |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Seminar Presentation   |                          | 30%                 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Participation  | 20%                      |                     |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Note:</li> <li>The grade is calculated according to the percentage assigned;</li> <li>The completion and submission of all component assignments are required for passing the subject; and</li> <li>Student must pass all components if he/she is to pass the subject.</li> </ul>  |                          |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Objectives                                    | To enable students to:  |                          |                     |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ol> <li>acquire an in-depth understanding of theories and concepts in<br/>relation to current social policy issues</li> <li>nurture analytical skills to evaluate existing social policies critically;<br/>and</li> <li>develop a critical and integrative perspective for policy suggestion<br/>with reference to the Hong Kong context.</li> </ol> |                          |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Intended Learning<br>Outcomes                 | <ul> <li>a. analyze and interpret social policy relevant theories and concepts;</li> <li>b. evaluate the outcomes of the critically; and</li> <li>c. propose ways to improve the ex social welfare of Hong Kong citizen</li> </ul>  | existing social po       | olicy and services  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |                          |                     |  |  |  |  |

| Subject Synopsis/<br>Indicative Syllabus                                    | <ol> <li>Definition and scope         <ul> <li>boundary and aims of social policy</li> <li>history of social policy development</li> <li>relationship with social work</li> </ul> </li> <li>Concepts in social policy analysis         <ul> <li>social changes and policy making</li> <li>social changes and policy making</li> <li>welfare state</li> <li>Types of welfare benefits</li> <li>Equality, equity and social justice</li> </ul> </li> <li>Political ideologies of social welfare         <ul> <li>Social Democracy</li> <li>New Right</li> <li>Third Way</li> <li>Marxism</li> <li>Post-modernism/Feminism</li> </ul> </li> <li>Selected topics on current issues in social policy</li> <ul> <li>Social policy for ageing societies</li> <li>Gender inequality</li> <li>Education reform and education policy</li> <li>Health services and health policy</li> <li>Housing inequalities and housing policy</li> <li>Poverty and social security</li> <li>Labour and employment policy</li> <li>Globalization and global social policy</li> </ul> </ol> |                |   |                       |                       |  |     |  |
|---|--|----------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|-----|--|
| Teaching/Learning<br>Methodology  | This subject consists of a series of related lectures and seminars. Since the major aim of this subject is to develop critical thinking and strengthen analytical power, an interactive approach is adopted to encourage discussions and debates on policy issues. Policy issues and case studies will be highlighted in lectures for discussion. Students are also required to present in seminar on selected policy issues, through which they learn to develop knowledge in a specific area and to articulate their arguments systematically.   |                |   |                       |                       |  |     |  |
| Assessment<br>Methods in<br>Alignment with<br>Intended Learning<br>Outcomes | Specific assessment<br>methods/tasks   | %<br>weighting | Intended subject learning<br>outcomes to be assessed (Please<br>tick as appropriate)<br>a b c |                       |                       |  | ase |  |
|   | 1. Term paper  | 50%            | ✓<br>✓  | <ul> <li>✓</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>✓</li> </ul> |  |     |  |

|                                  | 2. Seminar<br>Presentation  | 30%  | ~ | ~      | ~ |         |  |                                     |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|------|---|--------|---|---------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|                                  | 3. Participation  | 20%  | ~ | ~      | ~ |         |  |                                     |  |  |
|                                  | Total   | 100% |   |        |   |         |  |                                     |  |  |
|                                  | Term paper  |      |   |        |   |         |  |                                     |  |  |
|                                  | <ul> <li>Students are required to submit an individual term paper of 2,000 wo on a specific topic. The term paper should be presented clearly in academically relevant approach; factual evidence as well as analyti reasoning are both necessary. Applying concepts, theories, and princip is required in the analysis. It is also necessary for the students to prest their evidence and arguments systematically in a well framed structure.</li> <li>Seminar Presentation and Participation</li> <li>Students in small groups will prepare and conduct presentation on select issues relating to local social policy. They are expected to sort of information and organize data/evidence systematically to make effective presentation to the class. Students are also expected to motiv fellow students to take part in discussion and debate. They should response to questions and criticisms appropriately. Their performance in seminary will be assessed on both group and individual basis. The seminary will be assessed on both group and individual basis. The seminary provide a platform for participatory learning to brush up studer analytical ability and to develop skills in effective communication policy discussion.</li> </ul> |      |   |        |   |         | early<br>s anal<br>d prin<br>s to p                              | in an<br>ytical<br>ciples<br>resent |  |  |
|                                  |   |      |   |        |   |         |  |                                     |  |  |
|                                  |   |      |   |        |   |         | rt out<br>ke an<br>tivate<br>spond<br>ninars<br>s will<br>dents' |                                     |  |  |
| Student Study<br>Effort Expected | Class contact:  |      |   |        |   |         |  |                                     |  |  |
|                                  | Lectures  |      |   |        |   | 24 Hrs. |  |                                     |  |  |
|                                  | Seminars  |      |   |        |   | 15 Hrs. |  |                                     |  |  |
|                                  | Other student study effort  | t:   |   |        |   |         |  |                                     |  |  |
|                                  | Reading Subject Materials   |      |   |        |   | 50 Hrs. |  |                                     |  |  |
|                                  | Preparation for Seminar Presentation  |      |   |        |   | 16 Hrs. |  |                                     |  |  |
| Term Paper Writing               |   |      |   | 20 Hrs |   |         |  |                                     |  |  |
|                                  | Total student study effort  |      |   |        |   |         | 125  | Hrs.                                |  |  |
| Reading List and<br>References   | <ul> <li>Alcock, C., Payne, S., &amp; Sullivan, M. (2004). <i>Introducing social</i><br/><i>policy</i> (Rev. ed.). Harlow, England; Hong Kong:<br/>Pearson/Prentice Hall.</li> <li>Alcock, P., May, M., &amp; Wright, S.D. (Eds.) (2016). <i>The student's</i><br/><i>companion to social policy</i> (4th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley &amp;<br/>Sons.</li> </ul>   |      |   |        |   |         |  |                                     |  |  |

| Bai, X. (2019) Hong Kong Chinese aging adults voice financial care    |
|---|
| expectations in changing family and sociocultural contexts:           |
| implications for policy and services. Journal of Aging &              |
| <i>Social Policy</i> , <i>31</i> (5), 415-444.                        |
| Baldock, J., et al (Eds.) (2012). Social policy (3rd ed.). Oxford:    |
| Oxford University Press.  |
| Blakemore, K. & Warwick-Booth, L. (2013). Social policy: An           |
| <i>introduction</i> (4th ed.). Maidenhead: Open University Press.     |
| Chan, C. (2011). Social security policy in Hong Kong: From British    |
| colony to China's special administrative region. Lanham, Md.:         |
| Lexington Books.  |
| Chan, C. H., Chui, C. H. –K., & Chandra, Y. (2021). The role of       |
| social innovation policy in social service sector reform:             |
| Evidence from Hong Kong. <i>Journal of Social Policy</i> . DOI:       |
| https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279421000167                             |
| Cheng, S., Lum, T., Lam, L., & Fung, H. (2013). Hong Kong:            |
|   |
| Embracing a fast aging society with limited welfare. <i>The</i>       |
| Gerontologist, 53(4), 527-533.  |
| Fitzpatrick, T. (2011). Welfare theory: An introduction to the        |
| theoretical debates in social policy (2nd ed.). Basingstoke:          |
| Palgrave Macmillan.   |
| Forrest, R., & Yip, N. M. (2014). The future for reluctant            |
| intervention: The prospects for Hong Kong's public rental             |
| sector. <i>Housing Studies</i> , 29(4), 551-565.                      |
| Hill, M. J., & Irving, Z. (2009). Understanding Social Policy (8th    |
| ed.). Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.                                    |
| Jordan, B. (2006). Social policy for the twenty-first century: New    |
| perspectives, big issues. Cambridge: Polity Press.                    |
| Jordan, B. (2010). What's wrong with social policy and how to fix it. |
| Cambridge: Polity Press.  |
| Lavalette, M., & Pratt, A. (Ed.). (2006). Social policy: A conceptual |
| and theoretical introduction (3rd ed.). London: Sage                  |
| Publication Ltd.  |
| Li, C. W. (2016). Labor and class identities in Hong Kong: Class      |
| processes in a neoliberal global city. New York, NY: Palgrave         |
| Macmillan.  |
| Leung, K. M., Yiu, C. Y., & Lai, Kk (2020). Responsiveness of         |
| sub-divided unit tenants' housing consumption to income: a            |
| study of Hong Kong informal housing. <i>Housing Studies</i> , DOI:    |
| 10.1080/02673037.2020.1803799   |
| Lum, T., Shi, C., Wong, G., & Wong, K. (2020). COVID-19 and           |
| long-term care policy for older people in Hong Kong. Journal          |
| of Aging & Social Policy, 32(4-5), 373-379.                           |

| Ngai, K. K. H., Wu, S. W. K., & Chung, J. L. P. (2017). A journey of   |
|--|
| change – History of disability in Hong Kong 1841-2014. In R.           |
| Hanes & N. E. Hansen (Eds.). The Routledge history of                  |
| disability (pp. 163-203). Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.                   |
| Ramesh, M. (2012). Health care reform in Hong Kong: The politics of    |
| liberal non-democracy. The Pacific Review, 25(4), 455-471.             |
| Saunders, P., Wong, H., & Wong, W. P. (2014). Deprivation and          |
| poverty in Hong Kong. Social Policy & Administration, 48(5),           |
| 556-575.   |
| Spicker, P. (2008). Social policy: Themes and approaches (Rev. 2nd     |
| ed.). Bristol: Prentice Hall.  |
| Stewart, J. (2009). Public policy values. Bristol: Palgrave Macmillan. |
| Wong, L., White, L. T., & Gui, S. (2004). Social policy reform in      |
| Hong Kong and Shanghai: A tale of two cities. Armonk, N.Y.:            |
| M.E. Sharpe.   |
| Yung, B. (2012). "Right to housing" in Hong Kong: Perspectives from    |
| the Hong Kong Community. Housing, Theory and Society,                  |
| 29(4), 401-419.  |